

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/033,089

Applicant(s)

GROSS, DONALD

Examiner

Chester T. Barry

Art Unit

1724

## All Participants:

(1) Chester T. Barry.

(2) Mr. Spaw.

Status of Application: PENDING

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 29 September 2003

Time: 15:15

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

4

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature -- if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
EXAMINER STATED HE FELT LIMITATION BY INTER ALIA LIQUID DISCHARGE PUMP SUCTION ABOVE THE POINT OF AERATION TUBE OUTLET IN COMBINATION WITH CONTINUOUS AERATION MADE ALL BUT CLAIM 4 ALLOWABLE. EXAMINER REQUESTED THAT APPLICANT AUTHORIZE EXAMINER AMENDMENT IN WHICH CLAIM 4 WOULD BE CANCELLED. APPLICANT AGREED TO CANCELLATION OF CLAIM 4 BY EXAMINER AMENDMENT..